

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/808,228	03/14/2001	Brian W. McKinnon	10557/199332	5554	
30559	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER		
CHIEF PATENT COUNSEL			BARRETT, THOMAS C		
SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. 1450 BROOKS ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEMPHIS,	TN 38116		3738	10	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/808,228	MCKINNON, BRIAN W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 September 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un		·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-181</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-101,111-115</u> ,		4-188 is/are withdrawn from			
consideration.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 102-110,116-130,135,138,139,1 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to by t	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	- · ·	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	· ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		eived in this National Stage			
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , ,	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/808,228

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

The request filed on September 9, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/808,228 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 102-110, 116-130,135, 138-139, and 143 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The phrase "variable angle chamfer" has not been defined in the specification and therefore read broadly. For example, there are an infinite number of reference lines "defined by structure of the liner." In other words "a variable angle chamfer" relative to a *specific* line, plane etc. might better define the invention. The prior art cited below all have chamfers with angles that vary, i.e. the liner with the "small chamfer" of Thornberry et al. varies at the three notches on the chamfer or the "wide chamfer" angle varies as the chamfer curves upwards.

The Harkess et al. reference discloses a study wherein "acetabular liners were obtained from multiple manufacturers." These liners were analyzed for "variations in the chamfer angle at the rim". While the declaration has overcome the Harkess et al. rejection, the Applicant has not addressed whether the Harkess et al. disclosed a rim with a variable angle chamfer. It is requested that the Applicant supply the names of the liners in the study if known.

Response to Amendment

The declaration filed on September 9, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Harkess et al. reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 108-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 108-110 remain ambiguous and therefore indefinite as to whether the Applicant is positively reciting the femoral components. It is also unclear what the "desired limit range of motion" is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 102-110, 116, 135, 138-139 and 143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Thornberry et al. as disclosed in applicant's IDS. Thornberry et al. disclose a prosthetic device comprising: an acetabular shell, an acetabular liner and a

femoral component. (See "Results and Discussion"). The rim of the liner comprises a variable angle chamfer ("wide chamfer") and is symmetric about a plane ("small chamfer").

Page 4

Claims 102 and 117-124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Smith & Nephew (Reflection Lateralized Liners...) as disclosed in applicants IDS. Smith & Nephew discloses a prosthetic device comprising: an acetabular shell and an acetabular liner. The liner has a distance across the opening of the internal concave surface of 28mm, a shoulder, a serrated locking surface and a lateral offset of 4mm. The external surface of the "Lateralized Liners" is adapted to be received in a "Reflection InterFit" acetabular shell, which, as disclosed in the "Catalog Information" of "Smith & Nephew Surgical Technique" as cited in applicant's IDS, has an external diameter of 42-76 mm. The rim of the liner comprises a variable angle chamfer.

Claims 102 and 126-130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Lennox. Lennox discloses a prosthetic device comprising: an acetabular shell and an acetabular liner (Fig. 1). The liner has a center axis oriented 20 degrees to the axis of the shell (col. 8, lines 51-64). The rim of the liner comprises a variable angle chamfer

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,228

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 102, 122 and 125 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith & Nephew (Reflection Lateralized Liners.... Smith & Nephew discloses a prosthetic device comprising: an acetabular shell, an acetabular liner and medially shifted liner (Fig. 4) however Smith & Nephew fails to disclose the liner shifted medially specifically up to 8 mm.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to shift the liner medially specifically up to 8 mm. Applicant has not disclosed that shifting the liner medially specifically up to 8 mm provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solve a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the liner medially shifted specifically up to 8 mm because there is no disclosed advantage over a specific length of medial shift.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Smith & Nephew to obtain the invention as specified in claim 125.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-4746. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Barrett